

International Energy Agency, EBC Annex 68

Indoor Air Quality Design and Control in Low-Energy Residential Buildings

Subtask 5: Field measurements and case studies

Annex to final report: Case studies October 2020

Subtask 5 co-leaders:

Jelle Laverge (jelle.laverge@ugent.be), Ghent University, Belgium Fitsum Tariku (fitsum_tariku@bcit.ca), British Columbia Institute of Technology, Canada

Editors:

Sarah L. Paralovo, Ghent University, Belgium

Contributing Authors:

Alula Assefa, BCIT Building Science Centre of Excellence, Canada Esfand Burman, UCL Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering, UK Klaas De Jonge, Ghent University, Belgium Gaëlle Guyot, Cerema; University of Savoie Mont Blanc, France Roman Jaques, BRANZ, New Zealand Manfred Plagmann, BRANZ, New Zealand Shahrzad Pedram, BCIT Building Science Centre of Excellence, Canada Gabriel Rojas-Kopeinig, University of Innsbruck, Austria Maarten Spruyt, VITO, Belgium Marianne Stranger, VITO, Belgium

Summary

This document is an annex to the final report of EBC Annex 68 Subtask 5. As part of Subtask 5's activities, the energy use and indoor air quality data monitored in recently built high-performance buildings were presented at each annex meeting and, as a common exercise, collected using a common template. Data from 7 (groups of) buildings in 5 countries, namely Austria, Canada, France, New Zealand and United Kingdom, comprise the outcome of the second common exercise of Subtask 5.

In this annex are gathered all 7 data collection sheets, one for each specific experiment. The common template for data collection includes snapshots of the indoor air quality and energy uses of the buildings, as well as the measurement locations, sensors types and monitoring periods for each building. In addition to the common indoor air measurements, temperature, relative humidity and CO₂, formaldehyde, TVOC and particular matter (PM) are measured and reported in the houses, and other gaseous indoor pollutants in the high-rise buildings. Occupants perception is also provided.

Table of content

Monitoring in Austria: CaseStudy_AT_UIBK1	1
Monitoring in Canada: CaseStudy_CA_Residential	3
Monitoring in France: CaseStudy_FR_Houses	6
Monitoring in New Zealand: CaseStudy_NZ_House_A	9
CaseStudy_NZ_House_W	.12
Monitoring in the United Kingdom: CaseStudy_UK_UCL_BWRCH003	.14
CaseStudy_UK_UCL_BWR_BC805	.17
	Monitoring in Austria: CaseStudy_AT_UIBK1 Monitoring in Canada: CaseStudy_CA_Residential Monitoring in France: CaseStudy_FR_Houses Monitoring in New Zealand: CaseStudy_NZ_House_A CaseStudy_NZ_House_W Monitoring in the United Kingdom: CaseStudy_UK_UCL_BWR_CH003 CaseStudy_UK_UCL_BWR_BC805

Subtask 5: Case Studies - 1. CaseStudy_AT_UIBK1

General

Annex 68 Design and Operational Strategies for High IAQ in Low Energy Buildings



	Building envelope	Below Grade wall K-value (K.m ⁻ /W)				
	building envelope	Roof R-value (K.m ² /W)	9,1	(U-value: 0,11 W/K.m ²)		
		Slab on grade R-value (K.m ² /W)	7,7	(U-value: 0,13 W/K.m ²)		
		Window U-value (W/K.m ²)	0,72			
		Airtightness (ACH at 50 Pa)	0,18			
			Туре			
	Interior finishing	Interior paint				
Building Description	Interior linishing	Flooring	Wood laminat			
		Window cover (fabric, plastic, wood etc.)	plastic			
			Terminal unit	Equipment/Source		
		Heating	underfloor heating	Wood pellets, gas boiler a	and solar thermal	
	Mechanical systems	Cooling	no			
		Heat/Energy recovery	Heat Recovery			
		Humidity control	No			
			Ventilation type	Ventilation strategy	Design Ventilation rates	
	Ventilation	Heating season	Mechanical Ventilation	Continious	0.35-0.4 ACH	3-level switch for occupants
	ventilation	Cooling season	Hybrid	Continious	>0.4 ACH	It is assumed that occup. also use their windows for additional airing and night ventilation
		Shoulder seasons	Mechanical Ventilation	Continious	0.35-0.4 ACH	

(U-value: 0,13 W/K.m²)

EBC 🔊



Subtask 5: Case Studies - 2. CaseStudy_CA_Residential)



Project Title:	Lodenareal								
	Nama	Citation Textles	7						
Contributor	Name	Fitsum Tariku	-						
contributor	Country	Canada	_						
	Institution	British Columbia Institute of Technology (BCIT)	1						
	Building Location	Pemberton, British Columbia, Canada	7						
	Building Type	Multi-Unit Low-rise	Three storey						
	Year of Construction	2017							
	Major Renovation Year (if applicable, for older								
	buildings)	New							
	Building Floor Area (m ²)	3600	45 Units Appartment						
	Reference: URL or Citation: Report, Journal,	https://doi.org/10.1080/17512549.2015.1040072 https://passivehouse-							
	Conference	database.org/index.php?lang=en#d 1225							
				_					
		Construction type	wood-frame construction						
		Window to Wall ratio (%)	35	_					
		Above Grade Wall R-value (K.m ² /W)	6,2						
	Building envelope	Below Grade Wall R-value (K.m ² /W)	4,2	ICF Wall					
		Roof R-value (K.m ² /W)	12,3	_					
		Slab on grade R-value (K.m ² /W)		Underground garage					
		Window U-value (W/K.m ²)	0,8						
		Airtightness (ACH at 50 Pa)							
			Туре						
	Interior finishing	Interior paint	Latex paint						
Building Description		Flooring	Vinyl						
		Window cover (fabric, plastic, wood etc.)	plastic		_				
			Terminal unit	Equipment/Source					
		Heating		Air to Air Heat Pump Electric baseboard as supplement heating					
	Mechanical systems	Cooling		Air to Air Heat Pump					
		Heat/Energy recovery	Energy Recovery		_				
		Humidity control	No			-			
			Ventilation type	Ventilation strategy	Design Ventilation rates	-			
	Ventilation	Heating season	Mechanical Ventilation	Continious	33 L/s	As per ASHRAE 55			
		Cooling season	Mechanical Ventilation	Continious	33 L/S	As per ASHRAE 55			
		Shoulder seasons	Mechanical Ventilation	Continious	331/s	As por ASHRAE 55			

EBC

						-						
	Оссирарси	Typical Occupant Density (person/m ²)			0,03	_						
		Typical Occupant Type (mainly office workers, elders	s living, family with children		Family							
			Sensors used	Sampling locations	Measurement period	Data type	Minimum value	25 Percentile	Average	Median	75 Percentile	Maximum
		Temperature (°C)	HOBO Carbon Dioxide - Temp - RH Data Logger - MX1102A	11 Units: Living room/Bedroom	March 2019 to August 2019	Time series	17.9/14.3	22.0/21.8	22.9/22.9	22.9/22.9	23.7/23.8	28.8/32.6
	IAQ	Relative Humidity (%)	HOBO Carbon Dioxide - Temp - RH Data Logger - MX1102A	11 Units: Living room/Bedroom	March 2019 to August 2020	Time series	22.6/19.6	36.6/36.2	42.8/41.9	42.0/41.2	48.8/47.4	67.43/69.8
		CO ₂ (PPM)	HOBO Carbon Dioxide - Temp - RH Data Logger - MX1102A	11 Units: Living room/Bedroom	March 2019 to August 2021	Time series	-/300	439/463	542/654	550/592	671/762	1697/2549
	-	Temperature controlThermostat	Constant									
		Heating set point (°C)	occucpant									
	Factor	Cooling set point (°C)	occucpant									
	Energy	Energy measurement (KWh)	Hourly or less	1								
		Total Building Energy useon site(KWh/m ² /a)	73	Total Energy Measure	ed including DHW and plug	Loads						
		Total Thermal Energy useon site (KWh/m ² /a)										
	Occupants' perception of the their unit IAO		Good									
	Occupants' view of their unit thermal comfort		Comfortable	-								
Building Performance Monitoring & Measurement Techniques												



Subtask 5: Case Studies - 3. CaseStudy_FR_Houses) Annex 68 Design and Operational Strategies for High IAQ in Low Energy Buildings



Project Title:	Total Operational Performance of Low O	Carbon Buildings ('TOP'): case studies from two
	Name	Guyot Gaëlle
Contributor	Country	France
		Cerema
	Institution	+ University Savoie Mont Blanc

	Building Location	21 in France center region				
	Building Type	Single				
	Year of Construction	2014-2015				
	Major Renovation Year (if applicable, for					
	older buildings)	Not applicable	New-build			
	Building Floor Area (m2)	67 to 176	_			
	Reference: URL or Citation: Report, Journal, Conference	Guyot, G., Melois, A., Bernard, AM., Coeudevez, CS., Déoux, S., Berlin, S., Parent, E., Huet, A., Berthault, S., Jobert, R., Labaume, D., 2017. Ventilation performance and indoor air pollutants diagnosis in 21 French low energy homes. International Journal of Ventilation 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/14733315.2017.1377393				
General						
		Construction type	variable			
		Window to Wall ratio (%)	variable, total energy consumption	under 50 kWhep/year	/m²	
		Above Grade Wall R-value (K.m ² /W)	variable, total energy consumption	under 50 kWhep/year	/m²	-
	Building envelope	Below Grade Wall R-value (K.m ² /W)	variable, total energy consumption	under 50 kWhep/year	/m²	-
		Roof R-value (K.m ² /W)	variable, total energy consumption	under 50 kWhep/year	/m²	-
		Slab on grade R-value (K.m ² /W)	variable, total energy consumption	under 50 kWhep/year	/m²	-
		Window U-value (W/K.m ²)	variable, total energy consumption	under 50 kWhep/year	/m²	-
		Airtightness (ACH at 50 Pa)	less than 2,3 vol/h		qa4Pa<0,6 m³/h/m²	
					_	
		Interior paint	variable			
	Interior finishing	Flooring	variable		-	
		Window cover (fabric, plastic, wood etc.)	variable			
			Terminal unit	Equipment/Source		
		Heating			variable	
	Mechanical systems	Cooling	without			
		Heat/Energy recovery			only 7 with balanced ve	entilation
Building Description		Humidity control	Yes		only 14 with DCV system	ns
			Ventilation type	Ventilation strategy	Design Ventilation rate	s
	Ventilation	Heating season				7 houses with heat recovery balanced ventilation + 14 houses with humidity DCV
		Cooling season				winter campaign
		Shoulder seasons				winter campaign

	Occupancy	Typical Occupant Density (person/m ²)										
		Typical Occupant Type (mainly office workers, elders living	ng, family with children)		family with and with	out children						
			Sensors used	Sampling locations	Measurement period	Data type	Minimum value	25 Percentile	Average	Median	75 Percentile	Maximum
		Temperature (°C)	Thermometer	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Time series						
		Relative Humidity (%)	Capacitive RH	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Time series						
		CO ₂ (PPM)	NDIR	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Time series						
ΙΑQ	IAQ	Formaldehyde (ug/m³)	Radiello passive (diffusive) samplers by reaction with 2-4 DNPH and liquid chromatography and UV detection	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot				17,4		
		Other aldehydes (acetaldehyde, hexaldehyde, benzaldehyde, butyraldehyde, valeraldehyde, propionaldehyde, acroleine)	Radiello passive (diffusive) samplers by reaction with 2-4 DNPH and liquid chromatography and UV detection	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Particulate matter (µg/m³) - PM2.5	Active air sampling on a filter with a pump	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		NO ₂ (ppb)	Passam AG passive diffusive sampler and spectrophotometry	1 bedroom & Living Room + outdoor	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Radon	Passive sampling with Alpha track detection	1 bedroom & Living Room	2 months heating season	Snap shot						
		Ozone (ug/m³)	Palmes diffusion tube	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Benzene (ug/m³)		1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Toluene (ug/m³)		1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Trichloroethylene (ug/m³)		1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Tetrachloroethylene (ug/m³)	Radiello passive (diffusive) samplers by thermal adsorption+ Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Styrene (ug/m³)		1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Naphthalene (ug/m³)		1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		d-limonene (ug/m³)	_	1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		alpha-pinene (ug/m³)		1 bedroom & Living Room	7 days heating season	Snap shot						
		Temperature controlThermostat	Programable	-								
Energ Building Performance Monitoring & Measurement Techniques		Heating set point (°C)	21	-								
	Energy	Cooling set point (°C)	Not applicable	-								
		Energy measurement (KWh)	Monthly	-								
		Total Building Energy useon site(KWh/m²/a)	37.7 kWh/m ² /annum electricity, 141.9 kWh/m ² /annum fossil fuel (natural gas)									
		Total Thermal Energy useon site (KWh/m²/a)	141,9	Note: community heating system is not as efficient as design assumptions. The heating demand of the dwelling during the measurement period was 70.9 kWh/m ² /annum.								
	Occupants' perception of the their unit IAC	1	Good									
	Occupants' view of their unit thermal com	fort	Comfortable									



Subtask 5: Case Studies - 4. CaseStudy_NZ_House_A	EBC 🔊
Annex 68 Design and Operational Strategies for High IAQ in Low Energy Buildings	Drengy in Buildings and Communities Programme

Project Title:	Indoor air quality of four higher per	formance homes - pilot study]					
	Name	Roman Jaques Manfred Plagmann	1					
Contributor	Country	Now Zoolond	-					
contributor	Institution		-					
	Institution	BRANZ LTO]					
	Building Location	Christchurch, New Zealand	1					
	Building Type	Single	two storev					
	Year of Construction	2016						
	Maior Renovation Year (if applicable.							
	for older buildings)							
	Building Floor Area (m ²)	169	excl. Garage					
General	Reference: URL or Citation: Report, Journal, Conference	BRANZ Study Report 'Indoor air quality of four higher spec'd New Zealand homes – Pilot study' (2019)						
	Confidential - so no	pictures available.		_				
		Construction type	wood-frame construction	actually SIPs				
		Window to Wall ratio (%)	32% (approx.)					
		Above Grade Wall R-value (K.m2/W)	4,3	estimated				
	Building onvolono	Below Grade Wall R-value (K.m2/W)		no below grade				
	Building envelope	Roof R-value (K.m2/W)	5,7	,7 estimated				
		Slab on grade R-value (K.m2/W)	1,8	estimated				
		Window U-value (W/K.m2)	2,1	1				
		Airtightness (ACH at 50 Pa)	3,3					
			Туре					
Building Description		Interior paint	Acrylic					
v ,	Interior finishing	Flooring	comcrete	and timber upper level				
		Window cover (fabric, plastic, wood etc.)	fabric					
			Terminal unit	Equipment/Source]			
		Heating		Underfloor hydronic				
	Machanical systems	Cooling		None	1			
		Heat/Energy recovery	Heat Recovery	EcoMaster - Moisture Master (central)				
		Humidity control	No					
			Ventilation type	Ventilation strategy	Design Ventilation			
	Ventilation	Heating season	Mechanical Ventilation	Continious	unspecified			
	ventuation	Cooling season	Mechanical Ventilation	Continious	unspecified			
		Charles and the second	A de ale a stand Manadila di an	Contration				

	Occupancy		Typical Oc	cupant De	nsity (pers	on/m2)					115							
	occupancy		Typical Occupant Type (mainly office workers, elders living, family with children)								2 adults only							
			Tomporch	uro (°C)			Sensors u	ised		Sampling locations	Measurement period	Data type	Minimum value	25 Percentile	Average	Median	75 Percentile Maximum	
			remperat	uie (C)			Digital (C	nset Hobo	U10)	Lounge (open to kitchen)	1 week in Winter/Summer	Snap shot	18.2 / 20.0		20.5 / 23.0		23.5 / 30.0	
			Relative H	lumidity (%)		Digital (U	10)		Lounge	1 week in Winter/Summer							didn't re
	IAQ		CO2 (PPM)					n Absorpti	ion	Master bedroom	1 week in Winter/Summer	Snap shot	530 / 403		817 / 468		1277 / 611	
			Formalde	hyde (PPM)		Photoele (Passive	ctric Absor liffusion)	ptiometric	Lounge	1 week in Winter/Summer	Snap shot						< 10 PPB undetect
			TVOC (PPM)							NA								didn't m
			Particulate matter (µg/m³)					on-Finite E in parallel)	Element	Lounge	1 week in Winter/Summer	Snap shot	0.1/0		3.8 / 3.1		93.8 / 178	2.5 micro
			СО (РРМ)					de Electroo	hemical	Lounge	1 week in Winter/Summer	Snap shot	0/0		0.5 / 0		8/0	
			Temperat	ure control	Thermos	tat				didn't record			•					•
			Heating set point (°C)							Note that NZers don't	have continuous heating, a	s in other co	untries, generally					
			Cooling set point (°C)							Note that NZers don't	have continuous cooling, a	s in other co	untries, generally					
	Energy		Energy measurement (KWh)							not recorded during p	eriod							
			(KWh/m²/a)							not used for monitoring period								
			Total Thermal Energy useon site															
Building Performance Monitoring & Measurement Techniques			(KWh/m²/	/a)						not used for monitori	ng period							
	Occupants' perception of the th	Occupants' perception of the their unit IAQ						blo										
			_	MA	STER E	EDRO	DM				2,000		CO ₂ in Master E (over a wi	Bedroom (ש h nter week)	nouse]			
	House Reference:		,			V	V		4		2 1,600							
	Statistics	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win	Sum	Win		a) 1,400							
	average CO2	741	881	572	1127	444	604	NA	NA		1,000							
	minimum	438	438	408	400	404	424	NA	NA	NA NA								
	maximum	1232	1711	1164	4523	562	1,007	NA	NA									
	% of time above 1000ppm	8%	32%	0%	41%	0%	0%	NA	NA	NA 200								
	% of time above 1500ppm	0%	0%	0%	28%	0%	0%	NA	NA									
	median CO2	an CO2 <mark>7588835 534 741 438</mark>					573	NA	NA		20	60 4 3 33 73	7. 82 104 104	13% 14/5 15/5 16/4 18/0	19:1 20:2 21:3	01		
													TIME of Da	iy .				

	Problems identified	Adapted solution
Lesson learned	Whole house mechanical ventilation is very new to NZ, and nowhere is this more evident in the placement and treatment of ducting.	A national training for propoer ducting installation practices is needed for NZ.
	Useful placement of HRV controls is essential for user utility, which was not the case for this install.	This issue will fade as HRV systems all shift to smartphone controls and feedback.

Subtask 5: Case Studies - 5. CaseStudy_NZ_House_W

Annex 68 Design and Operational Strategies for High IAQ in Low Energy Buildings

FBC	
Energy in Buildings and	

Project Title:	Indoor air quality of four higher per	rformance homes - pilot study					
	Name	Roman Jaques, Manfred Plagmann	1				
Contributor	Country	New Zealand	-				
	Institution	BRANZ I td					
			1				
	Building Location	Christchurch, New Zealand	1				
	Building Type	Single	Single storey house				
	Year of Construction	2017					
	Major Renovation Year (if applicable,						
	for older buildings)						
	Building Floor Area (m ²)	140					
General	Reference: URL or Citation: Report, Journal, Conference	BRANZ Study Report 'Indoor air quality of four higher spec'd New Zealand homes – Pilot study' (2019)					
	Confidential - so cannot include pictures/plans of houses						
		Construction type	wood-frame construction]			
		Window to Wall ratio (%)	32% (approx.)				
		Above Grade Wall R-value (K.m ² /W)	6,1	Estimated (140mm framing)			
	Duilding annulana	Below Grade Wall R-value (K.m ² /W)	no below grade				
	Building envelope	Roof R-value (K.m ² /W)	7,8	Estimated			
		Slab on grade R-value (K.m²/W)	4,5	Estimated			
		Window U-value (W/K.m ²)	1,25				
		Airtightness (ACH at 50 Pa)	1,77	measured			
			Туре				
	Interior finishing	Interior paint	Acrylic				
Building Description	Interior finishing	Flooring	concrete - with hydronic hea	t			
- ·		Window cover (fabric, plastic, wood etc.)	fabric	1			
			Terminal unit	Equipment/Source]		
		Heating		Underfloor hydronic	1		
	Mechanical systems	Cooling		,	No cooling source		
		Heat/Energy recovery	Heat Recovery	Titan - whole house	Source		
		Humidity control	No	intan whole house	-		
			Ventilation type	Ventilation strategy	Design Ventilation rates		
		Heating season	Mechanical Ventilation	Continious	unspecified		
	Ventilation	0		0			
		Looling season	iviecnanical ventilation	Lontinious	unspecified		



Subtask 5: Case Studies - 6. CaseStudies_UK_UCL_BWR__CH003 Annex 68 Design and Operational Strategies for High IAQ in Low Energy Buildings



Project Title:	Total Operational Performance of London, UK	Low Carbon Buildings ('TOP'): case studies from t	wo apartment blocks in East						
				1					
	Name	Esfand Burman, Samuel Stamp	-						
Contributor	Country	United Kingdom	-						
	Institution	UCL Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering							
	Building Location	East London (LIK)	1						
	Building Type	Multi-Unit High-rise	The case study is an anartment on the	ground floor of this bloc	k				
	Vear of Construction	2014	The case study is an apartment on the	ground noor or this bloc	n.				
	Major Renovation Year (if applicable		-						
	for older buildings)	Not applicable	New-build						
	Building Floor Area (m ²)	127							
		Burman, E., Shrubsole, C., Stamp, S., Mumovic, D., Davies, M., 2018. Design and operational strategies for good Indoor							
	Reference: URL or Citation: Report, Journal, Conference	Air Quality in low-energy dwellings: performance evaluation of two apartment blocks in East London, UK, the 7th International Building Physics Conference (IBPC 2018), 23-26							
General		September 2018, Syracuse, USA.							
		Construction type	mass wall construction						
		Window to Wall ratio (%)	18%	8,33333333					
		Above Grade Wall R-value (K.m ² /W)		Ground U value: 0.12 W	И/m² °К				
		Below Grade Wall R-value (K.m ² /W)							
	Building envelope	Roof R-value (K.m ² /W)	Not applicable (ground floor and first floor aprtment)						
		Slab on grade R-value (K.m²/W)							
		Window U-value (W/K.m²)	0,85	Double-glazed windows	5 + secondary glazing with a large air gap due to acoustic considerations				
		Airtightness (ACH at 50 Pa)		Pressure test result: 3.8	3 m³/hr./m² @50 Pa				
			Туре						
	Interior finishing	Interior paint	Crown Vinyl Silk (White) in Kitchen, bathroom and other wet areas, Crown Matt (White) in other areas						
		Flooring	Carpet (except tiles used in kitchen)						
		Window cover (fabric, plastic, wood etc.)	PVC framed double-glazed window						
			Terminal unit	Equipment/Source]				
		Heating	Wet radiators	Community heating	Community heating system is based on natural gas-fired boilers with provision for integration of a CHP system				
	Mechanical systems	Cooling	None	5,50011					
		Heat/Energy recovery	None						
Building Description		Humidity control	No						
ballaring beschiption			Ventilation type	Ventilation strategy	Design Ventilation rates				
		Heating season	Hybrid	DCV (Humidity Controlled Ventilation)	Minimum 29 I/s mechanical ventilation, natural ventilation through windows				
	Ventilation	Cooling season	Hybrid	DCV (Humidity Controlled Ventilation)	Minimum 29 Us mechanical ventilation, natural ventilation through windows				
		Shoulder seasons	Hybrid	DCV (Humidity Controlled Ventilation)	Minimum 29 //s mechanical ventilation, natural ventilation through windows				

Occupancy		Typical Occupant Density (person/m2) 0,06										
		Typical Occupant Type (mainly office workers, elders living, family with children)			Family with children and grand paren	ts						
			Sensors used	Sampling locations	Measurement period	Data type	Minimum value	25 Percentile	Average	Median	75 Percentile	Maximum
		Temperature (*C)	Thermistor	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	17,4	21,6	23,6	23,8	25,4	30,5
		Relative Humidity (%)	Capacitive RH	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	27,2	37,2	43,8	45	49,5	74,2
		CO₂ (PPM)	NDIR	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	450	540	907	747	1103	3491
		Formaldehyde (µg/m³)	UMEX100 BADGES BY HIGH PRESSURE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	11,8	13,1	19,7	17,5	25,9	31,4
		TVOC (PPB)	Alphasense PID	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	0	33	63,7	56,7	76,7	1036,7
		Particulate matter (µg/m³) - PM2.5	Alphasense OPC-N2	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	0,26	1,8	6,23	3	6,9	228
		Particulate matter (µg/m³) - PM10	Alphasense OPC-N2	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	0	5	12	8,6	13,9	244,5
		NO ₂ (ppb)	Alphasense A43EF (Electrochemical)	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	0	5	10,2	7,2	10,7	254
	IAQ	NO ₂ (ppb)	Palmes diffusion tube	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	8,3	12,4	15,2	13,8	19,6	21,9
		Ozone (μg/m³)	Palmes diffusion tube	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	3,6	3,6	6,4	4,7	7,8	13,3
		Benzene (µg/m³)	ION CHROMATOGRAPHY	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,6	0,8	1,3	1,5	1,6	2,1
		Toluene (µg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,9	1,3	1,9	2,1	2,4	2,6
		Trichloroethylene (µg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3
		Tetrachloroethylene (µg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,4	1,1	1,2	1,3	1,5	1,8
		Styrene (µg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,3	0,7	1,1	0,8	1,5	2,1
		Naphthalene (µg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,3	0,3	0,6	0,6	0,9	1,3
		d-limonene (μg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	5,6	7,2	43,2	39,2	76,8	89,6
		alpha-pinene (µg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	4,1	4,2	9,2	7,3	10,6	21,5
		Temperature controlThermostat	Programable	-								
		Heating set point (°C)	21	_								
		Cooling set point (°C)	Not applicable									
	France	Energy measurement (KWh)	Monthly									
ince	Energy	Total Building Energy useon site (KWh/m²/a)	37.7 kWh/m²/annum electricity, 141.9 kWh/m²/annum fossil fuel (natural gas)									
nniques		Total Thermal Energy useon site (KWh/m²/a)	141,9	Note: community heati	ing system is not as efficient as design a	ssumptions. The	heating demand of th	e dwelling during the	measurement p	period was 70	.9 kWh/m²/annum.	
	Occupants' perception of the their uni	perception of the their unit IAQ Good Good Comfortable										



Subtask 5: Case Studies - 7. CaseStudies_UK_UCL_BWR_BC805 Annex 68 Design and Operational Strategies for High IAQ in Low Energy Buildings



Project Title:	Total Operational Performance of Lo London, UK	w Carbon Buildings ('TOP'): case studies from tv	o apartment blocks in East						
	Name	Erfand Burman, Samuel Stamp	1						
Contributor	Country	Linited Kingdom							
	Institution	UCL Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering							
			1						
	Building Location	East London (UK)							
	Building Type	Multi-Unit High-rise	The case study is an apartment on t	the 8th floor of this block.					
	Year of Construction	2014							
	Major Renovation Year (if applicable, for older buildings)	Not applicable	New-build						
1	Building Floor Area (m ²)	100							
	Reference: URL or Citation: Report, Journal, Conference	Burman, E., Shrubsole, C., Stamp, S., Mumovic, D., Davies, M., 2018. Design and operational strategies for good Indoor Air Quality in Iow-energy dwellings: performance evaluation of two apartment blocks in East London, UK, the 7th International Building Physics Conference (IBPC 2018), 23-26 September 2018, Syracuse, USA.							
General									
		Construction type	mass wall construction	1					
		Window to Wall ratio (%)	30%						
		Above Grade Wall R-value (K.m2/W)		Wall U value: 0.18-0.19 W	5,55555556				
		Below Grade Wall R-value (K.m2/W)	Not applicable	Not applicable	-				
	Building envelope	Roof R-value (K.m2/W)	not applicable (8th floor flat)						
		Slab on grade R-value (K.m2/W)	not applicable (8th floor flat)						
		Window U-value (W/K.m2)	0,92	Double-glazed windows +	Double-glazed windows + secondary glazing with a large air gap due to acoustic considerations				
		Airtightness (ACH at 50 Pa)		Pressure test result: 2.2 m					
			Туре	1					
	Interior finishing	Interior paint	Crown Vinyl Silk (White) in Kitchen, bathroom and other wet areas, Crown Matt in other areas						
		Flooring	Larpet (except tiles used in kitchen)						
Building Description		Window cover (fabric, plastic, wood etc.)	PVC framed double-glazed window						
			Terminal unit	Equipment/Source					
		Heating	Wet radiators	Community heating syster	n Community heating system is based on	natural gas-fired boilers with provision for integration of a CHP system in future.			
	Mechanical systems	Cooling	None						
		Heat/Energy recovery	None						
		Humidity control	No						
		Heating season	Ventilation type	Ventilation strategy	Design Ventilation rates Minimum 21 I/s mechanical				
	Ventilation			Controlled	through windows Minimum 21 I/s mechanical				
		Cooling season	Hybrid	DCV (Humidity Controlled	Ventilation, natural ventilation through windows				
		Shoulder seasons	Hybrid	DCV (Humidity Controlled	Minimum 21 l/s mechanical ventilation, natural ventilation through windows				

	Occupancy	Typical Occupant Density (person/m ²)	0,05										
		Typical Occupant Type (mainly office workers, elders livi	ng, family with children)		Family with children								_
			Sensors used	Sampling locations	Measurement period	Data type	Minimum valu	25 Percenti	Average	Median	75 Percer	iti Maximum	-
		Temperature (°C)	Thermistor	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	17,3	20,2	21,6	21,4	22,8	28,9	15min intervals
		Relative Humidity (%)	Capacitive RH	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	26	36	42	42	46	72	15min intervals
		CO2 (PPM)	NDIR	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	447	502	708	641	918	1733	15min intervals
		Formaldehyde (ug/m³)	UMEX100 BADGES BY HIGH PRESSURE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	1,2	1,2	7,5	3,2	11,2	18,8	ug/m3
		TVOC (PPB)	Alphasense PID	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	0	20	54	36,7	76,8	326,7	PPB - 15min intervals
		Particulate matter (μg/m³) - PM2.5	Alphasense OPC-N2	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	0,7	2,4	19	3,5	6,8	311,5	15min intervals
		Particulate matter (μg/m³) - PM10	Alphasense OPC-N2	Kitchen & Living Room	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	0,8	6,4	25,2	9,9	18,3	298,0	15min intervals
		NO2 (ppb)	Alphasense A43EF (Electrochemical)	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Time series	0,0	2,4	5,2	4,7	7,2	29,5	15min intervals
	IAQ	NO2 (ppb)	Palmes diffusion tube	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	13,1	16,9	20,3	20,7	25,8	26,0	
		Ozone (μg/m³)	Palmes diffusion tube	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	3,8	3,8	10,7	7,0	21,5	28,8	
		Benzene (µg/m³)	ION CHROMATOGRAPHY	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,6	0,6	1,0	0,9	1,6	1,7	
		Toluene (μg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	1,3	1,4	2,2	1,8	2,1	4,9	
		Trichloroethylene (μg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	
		Tetrachloroethylene (μg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	0,4	
		Styrene (µg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,3	0,5	1,4	1,0	1,4	4,2	
		Naphthalene (µg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,3	0,3	0,8	0,7	1,2	1,9	
		d-limonene (μg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	0,3	1,0	32,8	15,0	53,0	88,2	-
		alpha-pinene (μg/m³)	Passive (Tenax) – ISO16017	Kitchen, Living Room & Bedroom	7 days heating season, 7 days non- heating season	Snap shot	3,8	4,0	8,6	7,6	10,9	15,8	
		Temperature controlThermostat	Programable	-									
	Energy	Heating set point (°C)	21	-									
		Cooling set point (°C)	not applicable	-									
		Energy measurement (KWh)	Monthly										
Building Performance Monitoring & Measurement Techniques		Total Building Energy useon site(KWh/m²/a)	37 kWh/m ² /annum electricity, 101 kWh/m ² /annum fossil fuel (natural gas)										
		Total Thermal Energy useon site (KWh/m²/a)	101 kWh/m²/annum	Note: community heating	g system is not as efficient as design assu	mptions. The heati	ng demand of the	dwelling du	ring the r	neasuren	nent period	was 50.6 kW	h/m²/annum.
	Occupants' perception of the their unit I	AQ	Good]									
	Occupants' view of their unit thermal co	mfort	Comfortable										

